



State of the art in crop modelling for climate-impact research







Reimund P Rötter

(with contributions from Munir Hoffmann and Marian Koch) Tropical Plant Production and Agricultural Systems Modelling (TROPAGS) Georg-August University of Göttingen, Department of Crop Sciences, Grisebachstr. 6, Göttingen, Germany





TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1. Challenges
- 2. Brief history of CropM for climate-impact research
- 3. Recent progress highlights
 - Modelling potential crop impacts (field to global)
 - Modelling adaptation options
 - CropM contributions to integrated assessments
- 4. The way ahead





Göttingen Campus



1. CHALLENGES

⇒Food and Nutrition Security

Agriculture's

dual role:

(i) Being affected by CC





(ii) Affecting CC







Göttingen Campus

2. Brief history of crop modelling (CropM) for climate impacts research



e change in yields between present and 2050

<u>Considering</u> <u>agriculture in IPCC</u> <u>assessments</u>, John R. Porter et al., Commentary, Nature Climate Change (2017)

Fig. 2. Global impacts of climate change on crop productivity from simulations published in 1994 and 2010. (Top) The 1994 study (22) used output from the GISS GCM (in this example) with twice the baseline atmospheric CO_2 equivalent concentrations as input to crop models for wheat, maize, soybean, and rice that were run at 112 sites in 18 countries. Crop model outputs were aggregated to a national level using production statistics. (Bottom) The 2010 study (27) simulated changes in yields of 11 crops for the year 2050, averaged across three greenhouse emission scenarios and five GCMs. [Reprinted by permission from (top) Macmillan Publishers Ltd. (22); (bottom) World Bank Publishers (27)]

No data

(source: Wheeler & von Braun, 2013, Science)

2.1 Crop Simulation approach (G x E x M)

e.g. The CT de Wit Wageningen School of crop simulation models (SUCROS type - of moderate to high complexity; daily time step) (see, Bouman et al., 1996; van Ittersum et al 2003)



Fig. 2. A schematic representation of the photosynthesis module (in grey) for potential production, the module for water-limited production (a) and the dynamic N-approach for nutrient-limited production (b) for the ORYZA2000 model. Boxes are state variables, valves are rate variables, and circles are intermediate variables. Solid lines are flows of material and dotted lines are flows of information (Bouman et al., 2001). The same modules are used in many other models (Table 1).







3. Highlights of recent progress in modelling crop /ag impacts of CC (MACSUR, AgMIP)

3.1 Modelling potential crop impacts
3.2 Modelling crop system adaptations
3.3 CropM contributions to IAM of CC impact and adaptations *- farm to region*

Model intercomparison and improvement

COST 734 (blind test, curr. climate); AgMIP wheat (partially & fully calibrated, curr. & future)



7

State-of-the-art: multi-model ensembles for wheat

nature climate change

PUBLISHED ONLINE: 22 DECEMBER 2014 | DOI: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2470

FRS

Rising temperatures reduce global wheat production

S. Asseng et al.[†]



Application of ensemble modelling approach for bread wheat (AgMIP/Macsur) – map c shows: Relative median yield and CV for +4°C on top of 1981-2010 baseline



Figure 1 | Observations and multi-model simulations of wheat phenology and grain yields at different mean seasonal temperatures. a-f, Observed values \pm 1 standard deviation (s.d.) are shown by red symbols. Multi-model ensemble medians (green lines) and intervals between the 25th and 75th percentiles (shaded grey) based on 30 simulation models are shown.

CropM capabilities & limitations What kind of extremes and impacts ?

(1) Heat shocks (high Tmax) => floretmortality, heat waves => leaf senescence,shortened grain-filling period

(2) Dry spells/water deficits => VPD, stomatal closure, photosynthesis reduced, leaf senescence

(3) Drought x Heat interactions => transpirational cooling, etc.

(4) Heavy rain => water logging, oxygen stress; delayed harvest; wetness increased occurrence of pests/ diseases

(5) Heavy rain/warm winters – indirect via soil processes (e.g. nitrogen losses by leaching)

Changes in the rate of (a) C3 photosynthesis and respiration and (b) rate of crop development as a function of temperature



IRS2 Study- Results for wheat at Lleida/ES Construction of Adaptation Response Surfaces





Example of adaptation response surface (ARS) construction. An ARS results from subtracting two impact response surfaces (IRSs): one considering the adaptation to be evaluated (here using spring wheat), and the other the standard, unadapted option. In this case, the isolines of yield in the IRSs are in kg ha⁻¹, while the results in the ARS are expressed as % of change from the unadapted option. Both IRSs correspond to the same $[CO_2]$ (here 447 ppm) and the same soil

Source: Ruiz-Ramos et al., 2017. Agric Syst SI



MACSUR Regional Pilots Studies IA adaptations



> 15 regional pilots by end 2016

Multitude of appoaches – one direction is upscaling from *farm level* (for typical farm types) of mitigative adaptation options via region/national to supra-national scales – also taking into account other Sustainable DevGoals



Qualitative illustration goal achievement under alternative management (not all S-Indicators implemented yet in Macsur pilots)

=> CCAFS approach with CSA indicators





4. THE WAY AHEAD / PERSPECTIVES

- 4.1 On going work on crop impacts of extremes
- 4.2 Future priorities in improving crop system modelling
 - 4.2.1 Exchange insights statistical and process-based CropM
 - 4.2.2 Linking model development and experimentation
- 4.3 Towards more meaning in global gridded CropM
- 4.4. Towards cropping system and whole farm modelling of adaptation and mitigation options





Göttingen Campus

PHD STUDENT I. ABDULAI



Issaka Abdulai



Bundesministerium für wirtschaftliche Zusammenarbeit und Entwicklung

Research Topic:

Productivity, water use and resilience to climate change of cocoa cultivation systems in Ghana



Received: 22 April 2017

Accepted: 14 August 2017

DOI: 10.1111/gcb.13885

PRIMARY RESEARCH ARTICLE

WILEY Global Change Biology

Cocoa agroforestry is less resilient to sub-optimal and extreme climate than cocoa in full sun

Available empirical and modelling studies



Fig S2a: Development of number of publications (n= 3226) over time per agroclimatic extreme

Fig. 5: Number of model-specific papers (n= 262) per agroclimatic extreme



Experiments to improve models for better capturing crop impacts of extremes







MODEL-AIDED IDEOTYPING TO ACCELERATE BREEDING



- ⇒ Method development modelaided ideotyping
- ⇒ More efforts to implement it with comprehensive exp. data in practice (CLIMBAR, IMPAC^3)

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect
Contents lists available at Sc

Designing future barley ideotypes using a crop model ensemble

Fulu Tao^{a,*}, Reimund P. Rötter^{a,b}, Taru Palosuo^a, C.G.H. Díaz-Ambrona^c, M. Inés Mínguez^c, Mikhail A. Semenov^d, Kurt Christian Kersebaum^e, Claas Nendel^e, Davide Cammarano^f, Holger Hoffmann^g, Frank Ewert^g, Anaelle Dambreville^h, Pierre Martre^h, Lucía Rodríguez^c, Margarita Ruiz-Ramos^c, Thomas Gaiser^g, Jukka G. Höhn^a, Tapio Salo^a, Roberto Ferrise¹, Marco Bindi¹, Alan H. Schulman^{a,j}



GGCMI phase 2 exercise (here: APSIM)

- grid resolution: 0.5°
- soil data: WISE30sec harmonized world soil property estimates (Batjes 2015, Geoderma)
- Historical weather data: AgMERRA climate forcing dataset (Ruane et al. Agric. Forest Meteorol.)
- unified crop mask
- Predefined temp/CO₂/H₂O/N scenarios
- Example (model APSIM): median simulated yield for the 1980–2010 period, fertilization 200 kg/ha





THANK YOU!

https://www.uni-goettingen.de/en/539218.html (TROPAGS)

Further reading /1

- Antle, J. et al. Next generation agricultural system data, models .. Ag Systems (in press)
- Asseng, S. et al. 2013, Nature Climate Change 3, 827-832.
- Asseng, S. et al., 2015. Nature Climate Change 5, 143-147. doi: 10.1038/nclimate2470.
- Challinor, A., et al. 2014. Nature Climate Change 4......
- Ewert, F., Rötter, RP et al. 2015. Environmental Modelling & Software 72, 287-303.
- FAO 2010: "Climate-Smart" Agriculture: Policies, Practices and Financing for Food Security, Adaptation and Mitigation. FAO, Rome
- Fuhrer, J, Gregory, P., es. 2014. Climate change impact and adaptation in agricultural systems, CABI, Wallingford, UK.
- Jones, JW et al 2016. Brief history of agricultural systems modeling. Ag Systems (in press)
- Kanellopoulos, A. et al , 2014. Assessing climate change and associated socio-economic scenarios for arable farming in the Netherlands: An application of benchmarking and bio-economic farm modelling. Eur. J. Agron. 52: 69–80.
- Kahiluoto, H. et al. 2014. Global Environmental Change 25, 186-193.
- Lobell, DB, 2014. Climate change adaptation in crop production: Beware of illusions. Global Food Security 3, 72-76.
- Mandryk, M et al 2017. AgSyst
- Mueller, C. & Robertson 2014. Agricultural Economics 45, 85–101.
- Nelson, G et al 2014 PNAS
- Pirttioja, NK et al 2015. Climate Research.
- Porter & Semenov, 2005. Phil. Tran. R. Soc. B., 360, 2021-2035
- Porter et al., 2014......Chapter 14, W`GII, IPCC AR5, Geneva
- Reilly, J. et al 1995. Chapter 14: Agriculture in a changing climate. WGII , IPCC SAR, pp....
- Rosenzweig, C., Parry, M.L., 1994. Nature 367, 133-138.
- Rosenzweig C. et al. 2013. Agr. Forest Meteorol. 170, 166–182.
- Rosenzweig C. et al 2014. assessing agricultural risks of climate change in the 21st century in a gridded global crop model intercomparison. PNAS 111(9), 3268-3273.
- Rötter RP, Carter, TR, Olesen, J.E., Porter, JR 2011a, Nature Climate Change 1, 175-177.

Further reading /2

- Rötter RP et al., 2015. Journal of Experimental Botany, 66(12), 3463-3476.
- Rötter, RP and CA van Diepen, 1994. Climate change impact on crop yield and water use. Vol.
 2. Land use projections for the Rhine basin. SC-DLO, Report 85.2, Wageningen, NL.
- Ruiz-Ramos et al., 2017. Adaptation response surfaces. Agricultural Systems (in press)
- Shirsath, PB et al. 2016. Prioritizing climate-smart agricultural land use options at regional scale. Agricutural Systems (in press)
- Smith, P., 2016. Agricultural mitigation potential . Global Change Biology
- Smith, P, Olesen, J.E., 2010. Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 148, 543-552.
- Tao, F., Rötter, RP et al., 2016, Europ Journal of Agronomy
- Trnka, M., Rötter, RP, Ruiz-Ramos, M. et al. 2014. Nature Climate Change 4, 637–643.
- Trnka et al., 2011. Glob. Change Biol. 17, 2298-2318.
- Veeneklaas, F. et al. 1994. Land use projections for the Rhine basin with and without climate change. SC-DLO, Report 85.4, Wageningen, The Netherlands.
- Vermeulen et al 2013. PNAS , 110 (21), 8357–8362, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1219441110
- Webber, H. Et al 2014. What role can crop models play in supporting climate change adaptation decisions to enhance food security in SubSahara Africa Agricultural systems 127:171-77.
- West, PC et al, 2014, Science. 345, 508-511.
- Wheeler & von Braun, 2013, Science. 341, 508-511.
- White, J.W., Hoogenboom, G., Kimball, B.A., Wall, G.W., 2011. Methodologies for simulating impacts of climate change on crop production. Field Crop Res. 124 (3), 357–368.